Revised OPEN MEETING MEMORANDUM

TO: THE COMMISSION

FROM: Utilities Division

DATE: March 31, 2022

RE: IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER

COMPANY FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF JUST AND REASONABLE RATES AND CHARGES DESIGNED TO REALIZE A REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN ON THE FAIR VALUE OF THE PROPERTIES OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY DEVOTED TO ITS OPERATIONS THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF ARIZONA AND FOR RELATED

APPROVALS. (DOCKET NO. E-01933A-19-0028)

SUBJECT: APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION FOR ANNUAL PURCHASED

POWER AND FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE RATE ADJUSTMENT

INTRODUCTION

Enclosed are the Commission Staff's memorandum and proposed order for the Application for Authorization for Annual Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause Rate Adjustment (Docket No. E-01933A-19-0028). This is only a Staff recommendation to the Commission; it has not yet become an order of the Commission. The Commission can decide to accept, amend or reject Staff's proposed order.

You may file comments to the recommendation(s) of the proposed order by e-filing at https://efiling.azcc.gov/ or filing an original and the appropriate number of copies in accordance with the Filing Requirements available at http://azcc.gov/hearing/docket-control-center-filing-requirements, with the Commission's Docket Control on or before: April 8, 2022.

This matter may be scheduled for Commission deliberation at its Open Meeting scheduled April 12, 2022, and April 13, 2022.

If you have any questions about this matter, please contact Brian K. Bozzo of our Staff at (602) 542-0852, or Elijah Abinah, Director, at (602) 542-6935.

BACKGROUND

On February 1, 2022, Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP" or "Company") filed its updated calculations and a request for authorization for a Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment

THE COMMISSION March 31, 2022 Page 2

Clause ("PPFAC") rate adjustment in accordance with the PPFAC Plan of Administration ("POA"). The current PPFAC rate, approved in Decision No. 77960, dated April 15, 2021, is \$0.003294 per Kilowatt-hour ("kWh"). The Company is proposing to set the PPFAC rate at \$0.018424 per kWh for the period April 1, 2022, through March 31, 2023. TEP's overall PPFAC bank balance is currently about \$108 million under-collected.

The PPFAC rate consists of a Forward Component and a True-up Component. The Forward Component consists of the forecasted fuel and purchased power costs for the year, usually commencing on April 1 and ending on March 31 of the following year, less the average base rate of \$0.029053 per kWh approved in Decision No. 77856. The True-up Component is a reconciliation of any over- or under-recovered amounts from the preceding PPFAC year to be credited to, or recovered from, customers in the following PPFAC year.

STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

TEP's 2022 PPFAC balance reflects a number of items, including two years of cost deferrals and delayed recoveries. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the implementation of the PPFAC rate resets in 2020 and 2021 were delayed. Similar to TEP's 2020 PPFAC, the 2021 PPFAC rate included 1) \$13.5 million of fuel and purchased power costs incurred in 2020 that were shifted for future collection and 2) a total of \$4.3 million of additional PPFAC costs relating to a two-month delay in the implementation date (from April 1, 2021, to June 1, 2021). As a result of these unrecovered costs, TEP's current PPFAC balance includes \$17.8 million of prior year costs that would have normally been recovered in 2021. These deferred cost items are shown below:

Description	Amount
Fuel and purchased power costs from 2020 that were shifted for future collection.	\$13.5 million
Two-month delay in implementation date (April 1, 2021, to June 1, 2021) creating additional PPFAC cost.	\$4.3 million
Total Under-collection of PPFAC - Shifted Costs	\$17.8 million

TEP's application outlines that a number of external factors contributed to the magnitude of the Company's PPFAC costs to be recovered in the current application. In addition to the \$17.8 million in shifted costs, TEP described \$90 million in higher costs as contributing to the \$108 million PPFAC bank balance.

When natural gas and wholesale power prices declined through 2018, the 2019 TEP PPFAC rate reflected a substantial decrease from the previous year rate. Afterward, summer market conditions reversed, and periods of drastically higher prices were experienced. The volatile market resulted in significant under-collections and a higher forward component in 2020.

Significant wholesale power and natural gas market volatility continued after 2019. The application states that the San Juan Basin natural gas prices increased an average of 88 percent between December 2020 and December 2021, and the average Palo Verde wholesale power

prices in June and July of 2021 increased by 179 percent compared to 2020. TEP also entered into summer purchased power agreements, due to summer capacity shortages and power flow issues, to secure firm capacity and ensure reliability during the hot summer months. Weather events such as the heat wave of June 2021 and winter storm Uri in February 2021 led to additional unforeseeable costs due to record high natural gas and power prices.

In addition, replacement power purchases were made in 2020 and 2021 as a result of planned and unplanned generation outages. TEP experienced higher transmission costs due to the new 250 Megawatt Oso Grande wind project in New Mexico, which began commercial operation in 2021.

2022 Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause Rate Calculation

Since the implementation of the 2021 PPFAC rate was delayed and not set to recover the full costs of fuel and purchased power, those costs were included in the new yearly balance, thereby creating an increase in the PPFAC rate in 2022. The current and proposed PPFAC component rates are shown in the table below.

TEP Proposed PPFAC Rate Calculation (\$/kWh)

PPFAC Rate Calculation	Current Rate (6/1/21)	Proposed Rate (4/1/22)	Change
Forward Component Rate	\$0.001778	\$0.006219	\$0.004441
True-up Component Rate	\$0.001516	\$0.012205	\$0.010689
PPFAC Rate	\$0.003294	\$0.018424	\$0.015130

According to TEP, the proposed PPFAC rate would increase average residential bills by \$12.68 per month. For the average residential customer, the proposed PPFAC rate would appear as a \$14.68 surcharge per month (797 kWh x \$0.018424 per kWh). The TEP proposed \$12.68 per month bill impact represents recovery of the under-collection over a 12-month period.

The Company provided the Commission with two additional options for the True-up component to help mitigate the bill impact for its customers. Option 1 would provide six additional months for recovery of the PPFAC bank balance and Option 2 would provide 12 additional months for the bank balance recovery.

On February 18, 2022, Freeport Minerals Corporation docketed a request seeking the establishment of an annual \$0.004 per kWh cap on TEP's PPFAC Adjustor rate. Arizona Public Service Company ("APS") currently has such a cap as part of its adjustor mechanism. Staff recognizes that APS and TEP have different base costs of power and different existing fuel and purchased power adjustor rates. Therefore, the impact of a cap to each company's balance recovery will vary. Staff does not believe Freeport Minerals Corporation's request for a cap is

appropriate. By implementing a cap, the customers will end up paying more in the future because of the balance being carried forward plus the interest component rather than paying the balance now. However, Staff understands the benefit of a longer recovery period and is recommending a longer time period.

The bill impacts, PPFAC rate components and true-up collection scenarios for 12, 18, 24, and 30 months options are summarized in the tables below.

True-Up Balance Recovery Period	Avg. 2022 Estimated Residential Monthly Bill Impact
12 Months (POA)	\$12.68
18 Months	\$9.27
24 Months	\$7.56
30 Months	\$6.54

Recovery Period	Forward	True-Up	Total 2022 PPFAC Rate
12 Months (POA)	\$0.006219	\$0.012205	\$0.018424
18 Months	\$0.006219	\$0.008137	\$0.014356
24 Months	\$0.006219	\$0.006103	\$0.012322
30 Months	\$0.006219	\$0.004882	\$0.011101

PPFAC True-Up Collection Scenarios	2022	Estimated Balance Shifted to 2023
12 Months (POA)	\$108 million	\$0
18 Months	\$72 million	\$36 million
24 Months	\$54 million	\$54 million
30 Months	\$43 million	\$65 million

Staff has reviewed the forecast and calculations provided by the Company and found them to be reasonable. However, the pandemic posed an unprecedented challenge for ratepayers, and Staff currently believes that the option to recover over 18 months will best serve the public interest while still allowing for some recovery for TEP. Staff therefore recommends the Forward Component rate be set at \$0.006219 per kWh, and the True-up Component rate be set at \$0.008137 per kWh, resulting in a PPFAC rate of \$0.014356 per kWh, effective the first billing cycle after the effective date of this Decision. Staff's recommended PPFAC rate calculation is shown below.

Staff-Recommended PPFAC Rate Calculation

PPFAC Rate Calculation	Current Rate (6/1/21)	Proposed Rate (4/1/22)	Change
Forward Component Rate	\$0.001778	\$0.006219	\$0.004441
True-up Component Rate	\$0.001516	\$0.008137	\$0.006621
PPFAC Rate	\$0.003294	\$0.014356	\$0.011062

Staff's recommended PPFAC rate would increase average residential bills by \$9.27 per month. For the average residential customer, the proposed PPFAC rate would appear as a \$11.44 surcharge per month (797 kWh x \$0.014356 per kWh). The \$9.27 per month bill impact defers a portion of the recovery of the under-collection from customers who are challenged by the continuing effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and other economic issues.

The PPFAC has a balancing account, which means any over- or under-collected balance has interest applied annually. Any over-collection or under-collection existing at the end of the PPFAC year will be credited/debited an amount based on the one-year Nominal Treasury Constant Maturities rate contained in the Federal Reserve Statistical Release H-15 on the first business day of the calendar year. The interest rate as of January 3, 2022, was 0.40 percent.

Staff recommends that TEP file in Docket Control a revised Statement of Charges consistent with the Decision in this case within 30 days of the effective date of the Decision.

Elijah O. Abinah

Director

Utilities Division

EOA:BKB:elr\SJE

ORIGINATOR: Brian K. Bozzo

THE COMMISSION March 31, 2022 Page 6

On this 31st day of March, 2022, the foregoing document was filed with Docket Control as a <u>Utilities Division Memorandum & Proposed Order</u>, and copies of the foregoing were mailed on behalf of the <u>Utilities</u> Division to the following who have not consented to email service. On this date or as soon as possible thereafter, the Commission's eDocket program will automatically email a link to the foregoing to the following who have consented to email service.

Adam Stafford
Western Resource Advocates
Post Office Box 30497
Phoenix, Arizona 85046
stacy@westernresources.org
adam.stafford@westernresource
s.org
steve.michel@westernresources.org

Consented to Service by Email

Albert Acken
Dickinson Wright
1850 North Central Avenue
Suite 1400
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
greg.bass@calpinesolutions.com
scott.olson@directenergy.com
aacken@dickinson-wright.com
Mary.lynch@constellations.com
george.waidelich@albertsons.co
m
aacken@jsslaw.com
bert@ackenlaw.com
Consented to Service by Email

Alex Dely
8522 East Helen Place
Tucson, Arizona 85715
DelyCIHF@hotmail.com
Consented to Service by Email

Alfred Randall
Randall Tandy PLLC
2345 South Alma School Road,
Suite 204
Mesa, Arizona 85210
flomayesva@hopi.nsn.us
amignella@hopi.nsn.us
Consented to Service by Email

Brandon Cheshire
3810 West Cochise Drive
Phoenix, Arizona 85051
brandon@sunharvest.com
Consented to Service by Email

Daniel Pozefsky RUCO 1110 West Washington, Suite 220 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

dpozefsky@azruco.gov procedural@azruco.gov rdelafuente@azruco.gov

Consented to Service by Email

Eric Hawkins
Durazzo, Eckel & Hawkins,
PLLC
45 North Tucson Boulevard
Tucson, Arizona 85716
kcathers@trico.coop
vnitido@trico.coop
eric@durazzo-eckel.com
ehawkins@trico.coop

Consented to Service by Email

Kimberly Dutcher
Navajo Nation Department of
Justice
Post Office Box 2010
Window Rock, Arizona 86515
kdutcher@nndoj.org
Consented to Service by Email

Kurt Boehm
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite
1510
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
john@mooreinjurylaw.com
kboehm@bkllawfirm.com
Consented to Service by Email

Kyle Smith
United States Department of
Defense
9275 Gunston Road
Suite 1300 (JALS-RL/IP)
Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060
karen.white.13@us.af.mil
kyle.j.smith124.civ@mail.mil
Consented to Service by Email

Louisa Eberle
2101 Webster Street, Suite 1300
Oakland, California 94612
louisa.eberle@sierraclub.org
miriam.raffelsmith@sierraclub.org

Consented to Service by Email

Marta Darby
633 17th Street, Suite 1600
Denver, Colorado 80202
mdarby@earthjustice.org
Consented to Service by Email

Melissa Krueger
Pinnacle West Capital
Corporation
400 North 5th Street, MS 8695
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
Melissa Krueger@pinnaclewest.
com
Debra.Orr@aps.com
Thomas.Mumaw@pinnaclewest.
com
Theresa.Dwyer@pinnaclewest.com

Consented to Service by Email

Michael Hiatt
633 17th Street, Suite 1600
Denver, Colorado 80202
mhiatt@earthjustice.org
Consented to Service by Email

THE COMMISSION March 31, 2022 Page 7

Michael Patten Snell & Willmer L.L.P. 400 East Van Buren Phoenix, Arizona 85004 docket@swlaw.com bcarroll@tep.com jthomes@swlaw.com mpatten@swlaw.com mdecorse@tep.com mderstine@swlaw.com Consented to Service by Email

Michele Van Ouathem Law Offices of Michele Van Quathem, PLLC 7600 North 15h Street, Suite Phoenix, Arizona 85020 mvq@mvqlaw.com

Consented to Service by Email

Nicholas Enoch Lubin & Enoch, PC 349 North Fourth Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85003 nick@lubinandenoch.com kaitlyn@lubinandenoch.com corey@lubinandenoch.com cristina@lubinandenoch.com

Consented to Service by Email

Patrick Black Fennemore Craig, P.C. 2394 East Camelback Road, Suite 600 Phoenix, Arizona 85016 khiggins@energystrat.com pblack@fclaw.com lferrigni@fclaw.com Consented to Service by Email

Roi Lusk The City of Tucson Post Office Box 27210 Tucson, Arizona 85726 Roi.Lusk@tucsonaz.gov Jennifer.Stash@tucsonaz.gov Consented to Service by Email

Scott Wakefield Hienton & Curry, PLLC 5045 North 12th Street, Suite 110 Phoenix, Arizona 85014-3302 swakefield@hclawgroup.com Stephen.Chriss@walmart.com

Consented to Service by Email

Stanley Lutz Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie, LLP 201 East Washington Street, Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 sblutz@lrrc.com ArizonaEnergyPolicyGroup@g mail.com

Consented to Service by Email

Timothy Hogan Arizona Center for Law in the Public Interest 352 East Camelback Road, Suite 200 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 ezuckerman@swenergy.org thogan@aclpi.org briana@votesolar.org schlegelj@aol.com Nhorseherder@gmail.com janderson@aclpi.org sbatten@aclpi.org czwick@wildfireaz.org cmassey@swenergy.org mark@sanjuancitizens.org mike@sanjuancitizens.org carol.davis@dine-care.org lori.goodman@dine-care.org robyn.jackson@dine-care.org brendon@gableassociates.com

Consented to Service by Email

Victoria Buchinger Pima County Attorney's Office 32 North Stone, Suite 2100 Tucson, Arizona 85701-1412 Victoria.Buchinger@pcao.pima. gov Consented to Service by Email

Robin Mitchell Director/Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 legaldiv@azcc.gov utildiverservicebyemail@azcc.g

Consented to Service by Email

By:

Edna Luna Reza Administrative Support

Specialist

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
2	LEA MÁRQUEZ PETERSON
3	Chairwoman SANDRA D. KENNEDY
4	Commissioner JUSTIN OLSON
5	Commissioner ANNA TOVAR
6	Commissioner JIM O'CONNOR
7	Commissioner
	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) DOCKET NO. E-01933A-19-0028
8	OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT DECISION NO
9	OF JUST AND REASONABLE RATES AND ORDER
10	CHARGES DESIGNED TO REALIZE A) REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN ON {
11	THE FAIR VALUE OF THE PROPERTIES OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION FOR ANNUAL PURCHASED
12	COMPANY DEVOTED TO ITS POWER AND FUEL ADJUSTMENT
13	OPERATIONS THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF ARIZONA AND FOR RELATED) CLAUSE RATE ADJUSTMENT
14	APPROVALS.
15	
16	Open Meeting April 12 and 13, 2022
17	Phoenix, Arizona
18	BY THE COMMISSION:
	EDIDINGS OF EACT
19	FINDINGS OF FACT
20	Background
21	1. On February 1, 2022, Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP" or "Company") filed
22	its updated calculations and a request for authorization for a Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment
23	Clause ("PPFAC") rate adjustment in accordance with the PPFAC Plan of Administration ("POA").
24	The current PPFAC rate, approved in Decision No. 77960, dated April 15, 2021, is \$0.003294 per
25	Kilowatt-hour ("kWh"). The Company is proposing to set the PPFAC rate at \$0.018424 per kWh
26	for the period April 1, 2022, through March 31, 2023. TEP's overall PPFAC bank balance is
27	currently about \$108 million under-collected.
28	

2. The PPFAC rate consists of a Forward Component and a True-up Component. The Forward Component consists of the forecasted fuel and purchased power costs for the year, usually commencing on April 1 and ending on March 31 of the following year, less the average base rate of \$0.029053 per kWh approved in Decision No. 77856. The True-up Component is a reconciliation of any over- or under-recovered amounts from the preceding PPFAC year to be credited to, or recovered from, customers in the following PPFAC year.

Staff Analysis and Recommendations

3. TEP's 2022 PPFAC balance reflects a number of items, including two years of cost deferrals and delayed recoveries. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the implementation of the PPFAC rate resets in 2020 and 2021 were delayed. Similar to TEP's 2020 PPFAC, the 2021 PPFAC rate included 1) \$13.5 million of fuel and purchased power costs incurred in 2020 that were shifted for future collection and 2) a total of \$4.3 million of additional PPFAC costs relating to a two-month delay in the implementation date (from April 1, 2021, to June 1, 2021). As a result of these unrecovered costs, TEP's current PPFAC balance includes \$17.8 million of prior year costs that would have normally been recovered in 2021. These deferred cost items are shown below:

Description	Amount
Fuel and purchased power costs from 2020 that were shifted for future collection.	\$13.5 million
Two-month delay in implementation date (April 1, 2021, to June 1, 2021) creating additional PPFAC cost.	\$4.3 million
Total Under-collection of PPFAC - Shifted Costs	\$17.8 million

- 4. TEP's application outlines that a number of external factors contributed to the magnitude of the Company's PPFAC costs to be recovered in the current application. In addition to the \$17.8 million in shifted costs, TEP described \$90 million in higher costs as contributing to the \$108 million PPFAC bank balance.
- 5. When natural gas and wholesale power prices declined through 2018, the 2019 TEP PPFAC rate reflected a substantial decrease from the previous year rate. Afterward, summer market conditions reversed, and periods of drastically higher prices were experienced. The volatile market resulted in significant under-collections and a higher forward component in 2020.

Decision	MIG	
Decision	INO.	

7 8

6. Significant wholesale power and natural gas market volatility continued after 2019. The application states that the San Juan Basin natural gas prices increased an average of 88 percent between December 2020 and December 2021, and the average Palo Verde wholesale power prices in June and July of 2021 increased by 179 percent compared to 2020. TEP also entered into summer purchased power agreements, due to summer capacity shortages and power flow issues, to secure firm capacity and ensure reliability during the hot summer months. Weather events such as the heat wave of June 2021 and winter storm Uri in February 2021 led to additional unforeseeable costs due to record high natural gas and power prices.

7. In addition, replacement power purchases were made in 2020 and 2021 as a result of planned and unplanned generation outages. TEP experienced higher transmission costs due to the new 250 Megawatt Oso Grande wind project in New Mexico, which began commercial operation in 2021.

2022 Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause Rate Calculation

8. Since the implementation of the 2021 PPFAC rate was delayed and not set to recover the full costs of fuel and purchased power, those costs were included in the new yearly balance, thereby creating an increase in the PPFAC rate in 2022. The current and proposed PPFAC component rates are shown in the table below.

TEP Proposed PPFAC Rate Calculation (\$/kWh)

PPFAC Rate Calculation	Current Rate (6/1/21)	Proposed Rate (4/1/22)	Change
Forward Component Rate	\$0.001778	\$0.006219	\$0.004441
True-up Component Rate	\$0.001516	\$0.012205	\$0.010689
PPFAC Rate	\$0.003294	\$0.018424	\$0.015130

9. According to TEP, the proposed PPFAC rate would increase average residential bills by \$12.68 per month. For the average residential customer, the proposed PPFAC rate would appear as a \$14.68 surcharge per month (797 kWh x \$0.018424 per kWh). The TEP proposed \$12.68 per month bill impact represents recovery of the under-collection over a 12-month period.

Decision	No.		
			-

10. The Company provided the Commission with two additional options for the True-up component to help mitigate the bill impact for its customers. Option 1 would provide six additional months for recovery of the PPFAC bank balance and Option 2 would provide 12 additional months for the bank balance recovery.

11. On February 18, 2022, Freeport Minerals Corporation docketed a request seeking the establishment of an annual \$0.004 per kWh cap on TEP's PPFAC Adjustor rate. Arizona Public Service Company ("APS") currently has such a cap as part of its adjustor mechanism. Staff recognizes that APS and TEP have different base costs of power and different existing fuel and purchased power adjustor rates. Therefore, the impact of a cap to each company's balance recovery will vary. Staff does not believe Freeport Minerals Corporation's request for a cap is appropriate. By implementing a cap, the customers will end up paying more in the future because of the balance being carried forward plus the interest component rather than paying the balance now. However, Staff understands the benefit of a longer recovery period and is recommending a longer time period.

12. The bill impacts, PPFAC rate components and true-up collection scenarios for 12, 18, 24, and 30 months options are summarized in the tables below.

True-Up Balance Recovery Period	Avg. 2022 Estimated Residential Monthly Bill Impact
12 Months (POA)	\$12.68
18 Months	\$9.27
24 Months	\$7.56
30 Months	\$6.54

Recovery Period	Forward	True-Up	Total 2022 PPFAC Rate
12 Months (POA)	\$0.006219	\$0.012205	\$0.018424
18 Months	\$0.006219	\$0.008137	\$0.014356
24 Months	\$0.006219	\$0.006103	\$0.012322
30 Months	\$0.006219	\$0.004882	\$0.011101

PPFAC True-Up Collection Scenarios	2022	Estimated Balance Shifted to 2023
12 Months (POA)	\$108 million	\$ 0
18 Months	\$72 million	\$36 million
24 Months	\$54 million	\$54 million
30 Months	\$43 million	\$65 million

Decision	No.		
Decision	TIO.		

13. Staff has reviewed the forecast and calculations provided by the Company and found them to be reasonable. However, the pandemic posed an unprecedented challenge for ratepayers, and Staff currently believes that the option to recover over 18 months will best serve the public interest while still allowing for some recovery for TEP. Staff therefore recommends the Forward Component rate be set at \$0.006219 per kWh, and the True-up Component rate be set at \$0.008137 per kWh, resulting in a PPFAC rate of \$0.014356 per kWh, effective the first billing cycle after the effective date of this Decision. Staff's recommended PPFAC rate calculation is shown below.

Staff-Recommended PPFAC Rate Calculation

PPFAC Rate Calculation	Current Rate (6/1/21)	Proposed Rate (4/1/22)	Change
Forward Component Rate	\$0.001778	\$0.006219	\$0.004441
True-up Component Rate	\$0.001516	\$0.008137	\$0.006621
PPFAC Rate	\$0.003294	\$0.014356	\$0.011062

- 14. Staff's recommended PPFAC rate would increase average residential bills by \$9.27 per month. For the average residential customer, the proposed PPFAC rate would appear as a \$11.44 surcharge per month (797 kWh x \$0.014356 per kWh). The \$9.27 per month bill impact defers a portion of the recovery of the under-collection from customers who are challenged by the continuing effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and other economic issues.
- 15. The PPFAC has a balancing account, which means any over- or under-collected balance has interest applied annually. Any over-collection or under-collection existing at the end of the PPFAC year will be credited/debited an amount based on the one-year Nominal Treasury Constant Maturities rate contained in the Federal Reserve Statistical Release H-15 on the first business day of the calendar year. The interest rate as of January 3, 2022, was 0.40 percent.
- 16. Staff recommends that TEP file in Docket Control a revised Statement of Charges consistent with the Decision in this case within 30 days of the effective date of the Decision.

Decision No.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

2 3

Tucson Electric Power Company is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.

4 5

subject matter of the application.

6

7

rate as discussed herein.

1.

2.

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3. The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's Memorandum. concludes that it is in the public interest to reset the Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause

The Commission has jurisdiction over Tucson Electric Power Company and the

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the change in the Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause rate to \$0.014356 per kWh is hereby approved.

ORDER

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the new Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause rate shall go into effect the first billing cycle after the effective date of this Decision.

Decision No.

	Docket 140. E-01933A-19-0028		
1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Tucson Electric Power Company file in Docket Control a		
2	revised Statement of Charges consistent with the Decision in this case within 30 days of the effective		
3	date of the Decision.		
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.		
5			
6	BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION		
7			
8	CHAIRWOMAN MÁRQUEZ PETERSON COMMISSIONER KENNEDY		
9			
10			
11	COMMISSIONER OLSON COMMISSIONER TOVAR COMMISSIONER O'CONNOR		
12			
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, MATTHEW J. NEUBERT, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission,		
14	have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of		
15	Phoenix, thisday of, 2022.		
16			
17	MATTHEW I MOUDEDO		
18 19	MATTHEW J. NEUBERT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR		
20			
21	DISSENT:		
22	DISSENT:		
23			
24	EOA:BKB:elr/SJE		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Decision No.		

Decision No. _

1	Tucson Electric Power Company		
2	Docket No. E-01933A-19-0028		
3	Adam Stafford	Daniel Pozefsky	Louisa Eberle
4	Western Resource Advocates Post Office Box 30497	RUCO 1110 West Washington,	2101 Webster Street, Suite 1300 Oakland, California 94612
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85046 stacy@westernresources.org	Suite 220 Phoenix, Arizona 85007	louisa.eberle@sierraclub.org miriam.raffel-
6	adam.stafford@westernresources .org	dpozefsky@azruco.gov procedural@azruco.gov	smith@sierraclub.org Consented to Service by Email
7	steve.michel@westernresources. org	rdelafuente@azruco.gov Consented to Service by Email	Marta Darby
8	Consented to Service by Email	Eric Hawkins	633 17th Street, Suite 1600 Denver, Colorado 80202
9	Albert Acken Dickinson Wright 1850 North Central Avenue	Durazzo, Eckel & Hawkins, PLLC 45 North Tucson Boulevard	mdarby@earthjustice.org Consented to Service by Email
10	Suite 1400 Phoenix, Arizona 85004	Tucson, Arizona 85716 kcathers@trico.coop	Melissa Krueger Pinnacle West Capital
11	greg.bass@calpinesolutions.com scott.olson@directenergy.com	vnitido@trico.coop eric@durazzo-eckel.com	Corporation 400 North 5th Street, MS 8695
12	aacken@dickinson-wright.com Mary.lynch@constellations.com	ehawkins@trico.coop Consented to Service by Email	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Melissa.Krueger@pinnaclewest.c
13	george.waidelich@albertsons.co m	Kimberly Dutcher	om Debra.Orr@aps.com
14	aacken@jsslaw.com bert@ackenlaw.com	Navajo Nation Department of Justice	Thomas.Mumaw@pinnaclewest.
15	Consented to Service by Email	Post Office Box 2010 Window Rock, Arizona 86515	Theresa.Dwyer@pinnaclewest.co m
16	Alex Dely 8522 East Helen Place	kdutcher@nndoj.org Consented to Service by Email	Consented to Service by Email
17	Tucson, Arizona 85715 <u>DelyCIHF@hotmail.com</u>	Kurt Boehm	Michael Hiatt 633 17th Street, Suite 1600
18	Consented to Service by Email	Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite	Denver, Colorado 80202 mhiatt@earthjustice.org
19	Alfred Randall Randall Tandy PLLC	1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	Consented to Service by Email
20	2345 South Alma School Road, Suite 204 Mesa, Arizona 85210	john@mooreinjurylaw.com kboehm@bkllawfirm.com	Michael Patten Snell & Willmer L.L.P.
21	flomayesva@hopi.nsn.us amignella@hopi.nsn.us	Consented to Service by Email Kyle Smith	400 East Van Buren Phoenix, Arizona 85004 docket@swlaw.com
22	Consented to Service by Email	United States Department of Defense	bcarroll@tep.com jthomes@swlaw.com
23	Brandon Cheshire 3810 West Cochise Drive	9275 Gunston Road Suite 1300 (JALS-RL/IP)	mpatten@swlaw.com mdecorse@tep.com
24	Phoenix, Arizona 85051 brandon@sunharvest.com	Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060 karen.white.13@us.af.mil	mderstine@swlaw.com Consented to Service by Email
25	Consented to Service by Email	kyle.j.smith124.civ@mail.mil Consented to Service by Email	Michele Van Quathem
26			Law Offices of Michele Van Quathem, PLLC
27			7600 North 15h Street, Suite 150 Phoenix, Arizona 85020
28			mvq@mvqlaw.com Consented to Service by Email

Nicholas Enoch 1 Nhorseherder@gmail.com Lubin & Enoch, PC janderson@aclpi.org 349 North Fourth Avenue sbatten@aclpi.org 2 Phoenix, Arizona 85003 czwick@wildfireaz.org nick@lubinandenoch.com cmassey@swenergy.org 3 kaitlyn@lubinandenoch.com mark@sanjuancitizens.org corey@lubinandenoch.com mike@sanjuancitizens.org 4 cristina@lubinandenoch.com carol.davis@dine-care.org lori.goodman@dine-care.org Consented to Service by Email 5 robyn.jackson@dine-care.org Patrick Black brendon@gableassociates.com Fennemore Craig, P.C. Consented to Service by Email 2394 East Camelback Road, Suite 600 Victoria Buchinger Phoenix, Arizona 85016 Pima County Attorney's Office 8 khiggins@energystrat.com 32 North Stone, Suite 2100 pblack@fclaw.com Tucson, Arizona 85701-1412 9 lferrigni@fclaw.com Victoria.Buchinger@pcao.pima. Consented to Service by Email gov 10 Consented to Service by Email Roi Lusk 11 The City of Tucson Robin Mitchell Post Office Box 27210 Director/Chief Counsel, Legal 12 Tucson, Arizona 85726 Division Roi.Lusk@tucsonaz.gov Arizona Corporation Jennifer.Stash@tucsonaz.gov Commission 13 Consented to Service by Email 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 14 Scott Wakefield legaldiv@azcc.gov Hienton & Curry, PLLC utildiverservicebyemail@azcc.go 15 5045 North 12th Street, Suite Consented to Service by Email 16 Phoenix, Arizona 85014-3302 swakefield@hclawgroup.com 17 Stephen.Chriss@walmart.com Consented to Service by Email 18 Stanley Lutz 19 Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie, 20 201 East Washington Street, Suite 1200 21 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 sblutz@lrrc.com 22 ArizonaEnergyPolicyGroup@gm ail.com 23 Consented to Service by Email Timothy Hogan 24 Arizona Center for Law in the Public Interest 25 352 East Camelback Road, Suite 200 26 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 ezuckerman@swenergy.org 27 thogan@aclpi.org briana@votesolar.org 28 schlegelj@aol.com

Decision No.